Using Simulation-based Education to Pinpoint Curriculum Deficiencies in an Anesthesiology Teaching Program Avner Sidi, MD¹; Tammy Y. Euliano, MD¹; Samsun Lampotang, PhD^{1,2}; Casey B. White, PhD¹ Department of Anesthesiology¹, University of Florida College of Medicine; and the Center for Safety, Simulation, & Advanced Learning Technologies², University of Florida College of Medicine, Gainesville, FL

Introduction

The aim of this study was to use Objective Structured Clinical Examination (OSCE)-driven modalities as a teaching/testing tool of simulation-based medical education[1-3], in order to **pinpoint and define deficiencies** in teaching. The anesthesia fields/domains tested and evaluated were operating room (OR) mishaps, trauma, and resuscitation[2].

Methods and Materials

The examination was administered to 66 residents in postgraduate years (PGY) 2-4 (Table 1). The residents were tested in crisis management in 3 major anesthesia domains (Tables 2-4). Each scenario (#1 or #2, in each field) was evaluated according to a preset checklist for performance evaluation.

Residents received a <u>"pass"</u> score on the scenario if they successfully performed 70% of the station's checklist items, including all critical actions/ items. In each scenario and in every domain, the error rate and performance grade for each item were calculated in each PGY group and for all residents. If the error rate was >0.3 or performance grade <0.7 for any item – for PGY-4 residents or all residents – we further investigated the particular "problematic" item.

Results

Vigilance... Gator Style

NIVERSITY of

FLORIDÁ

()

The error rate for all residents in Trauma and Resuscitation fields was lower than OR domain, and lower in Resuscitation than Trauma (Table 5); and the *error rate* was lower for **PGY**-<u>**4 residents**</u> compared to PGY-2 residents in each domain, and also in each scenario – except in scenario OR #1 and Trauma #2, where the error rate was relatively high in all PGY groups (Table 5).

When we analyzed the specific type of deficiencies (= *performance grade* <0.7), we found that:

- (a) In the **OR domain** (Table 2), most (15/22) deficiencies were related to thinking process and differential diagnosis, but none of these deficiencies was critical;
- (b) in the **Resuscitation domain** (Table 3), most (8/9) deficiencies were related to basic knowledge of treatment, and >half of them (5/8) were critical
- (c) in the **Trauma domain** (Table 4), most deficiencies were equally distributed and related to either decision on a choice of action/intervention (5/9) or basic knowledge of treatment (4/9), and most of them (7/9) were not critical.

Table 1 Distribution of residents in each postgraduate year (PGY-2 to PGY-4), each field (Operating Room, Trauma, and Resuscitation), and each scenario (Type 1 and Type 2) within each field (including number of items tested, and the *technical*, non-technical & critical items in each scenario and field).

Scenario	OR Type 1	OR Type 2	OR Field	Trauma Type 1	Trauma Type 2	Trauma Field	Resuscitation Type 1	Resuscitation Type 2	Resuscitation Field
PGY-2	3	5	8	3	5	8	4	3	7
PGY-3	5	4	9	3	4	7	3	4	7
PGY-4	4	3	7	4	2	6	4	3	7
No. of items	20	20	40	14	12	26	17	17	34
No. of basic knowledge /technical items (BK/T)	7	7	14	8	7	15	13	13	26
No. of cognitive/ nontechnic al items (C/NT)	13	13	26	6	5	11	4	4	8
Critical items	3	2	5	5	4	9	11	11	22

Table 2 Performance grades for all items in OR scenarios by PGY level for all residents

Scenario – OR Type 1 Tested PGY-2 PGY-3 PGY-4	All
Hypotension developing in the OR:	
Initial response - Fluid challengeBK/T0.33*0.80.75+ C/NT	0.67*
Differential diagnosis (at least 3) 0.67 0.8 1	0.83
Decision re monitoring/labs C/NT 0.67* 1 0.5*	0.75
Fluid balance as a causeC/NT10.81	0.92
Drug effect (anesthesia) cause C/NT 1 0.8 0.75	0.83
Assess cardiac reason/diagnosisC/NT0.33*0.2*0.75	0.42* ‡
Assess pulmonary reason/diagnosis C/NT 0.33 0.8 1	0.75
Assess drug sensitivity/hormonal effect C/NT 0.33* 0.4* 0.5*	0.41* ‡
Assess metabolic reason/MH C/NT 0* 0.2* 0.5*	0.25* ‡
Final diagnosis/treatmentC/NT10.80.75	0.83
Blood Reaction:	
个Pulmonary inspiratory pressure; hypoxemia developing	
Administer oxygen for hypoxia †BK/T0.67*0.6*0.75	0.67*
Clinical diagnosis – Pulmonary sounds †BK/T11	1
Evaluate mechanical problem (systematically) *BK/T0.670.61	0.83
Evaluate airway resistance with capnographyBK/T0.67*10.5*	0.75
Evaluate anesthesia levelC/NT0.67*0.6*0.75	0.67* ‡
Suspect allergic reaction – SkinC/NT0*0.2*0.25*	‡ 0.17 * ‡
Change parameters in mechanical ventilation BK/T 0.67 0.6* 0.25*	0.5*
Decision on final diagnosisC/NT10.6*0.5*	0.67* ‡
Treatment - Pharmacological agents/GroupsBK/T10.80.75	0.83
Agent choice & doses for treating bronchospasmC/NT0.33*0.6*0.5* =+BK/T	0.5 * ‡
Scenario – OR Type 2 Function PGY-2 PGY-3 PGY-4 Tested Tes	All
Hypertension:	
Initial response - Check anesthesia levelBK/T0.6 *0.751	0.58*
Initial treatment before differential diagnosis -BK/T10.750 *Oxygen for desaturation000000	0.67*
Differential diagnosis (at least 3) C/NT 0.8 0.75 1	0.83
First treatment after differential diagnosis – Adjust BK/T 0.8 1 1	0.92
Assess & adjust fluid balance C/NT 0.4 * 0.25* 0.33*	‡ 0.33 * ‡
Consider drug effect (anesthesia level) C/NT 0.6 0.75 1	0.75
Consider cardiac reason/diagnosis C/NT 0.2 * 0 * 0.33*	‡ 0.17* ‡
Consider pulmonary reason/diagnosis C/NT 0.8 0.75 0.67*	\$ 0.75
Consider drug sensitivity/hormonal effect C/NT 0.4 * 0 * 0.33*	‡ 0.25* ‡
Consider metabolic reason/MH C/NT 0.4 * 0.75 1	0.67* ‡
Decision on final diagnosis/treatment C/NT 0 * 0.75 1	0.5 * ‡
Decision – Treat HTN and HR pharmacologically C/NT 0.4 * 1 1	0.75
Drug combination treatment: Vasodilators + Beta BK/T 0.4 * 0.25* 1 blockers †	0.5 *
Additional invasive monitoring – Possible location of C/NT 0.6 * 1 1	0.83
"Overshoot" interpretation of AL BK/T 0.2 * 0.5 * 1	0.5 *
Additional invasive monitoring – CVP BK/T	
Invasive monitoring CVP complication BK/T 0.8 0.75 1	0.83
CVP level interpretation – Fluid challenge directed C/NT 0.8 0.25* 0.33*	‡ 0.5 * ‡
Artorial blood gas interpretation	0.92
Arterial blood gas interpretation 1 0.67	

*Performance Grade < 0.7 for PGY groups and/or all residents; + Critical item; + Performance grade< 0.7 for PGY-4 group and/or all residents in the frame of C/NT BK/T = Basic knowledge/treatment; C/NT = cognitive/nontechnical; MH=malignant hyperthermia; HTN=hypertension; HR=heart rate; AL=arterial line; CVP=central venous pressure

Table 3 Performance grades for all items on the Resuscitation scenarios by PGY level for all residents

	PERFORMANCE GRADE			
SCENARIO – Resuscitation Type 1	Function Tested	PGY-2	PGY-3	PGY-4
Wide complex tachycardia w/hypotension:				
Address the patient	BK/T	1	1	1
Clinical assessment	BK/T	1	1	1
Administer oxygen 🕇	ВК/Т	1	1	1
Consider NIV	ВК/Т	0.5 *	0.67*	0.75*
Correct application of NIV	ВК/Т	0.75	1	1
ECG interpretation – Acute ischemia +	C/NT	1	1	1
Chest x-ray interpretation – Pulmonary congestion/edema 🕇	C/NT	1	0.67*	1
Recognition – No plethysmogram 1 st arrhythmia	ВК/Т	1	1	1
Clinical assessment – BP & pulse evaluation	BK/T	1	1	1
Consider defibrillation - Nonsync, energy level, attempts †	ВК/Т	0*	0.67*	0.75*
Clinical assessment before intubation – BP & pulse evaluation †	ВК/Т	1	1	1
Prepare equipment for tracheal intubation +	ВК/Т	0.75*	0.33*	0.75*
Prepare medications for tracheal intubation †	BK/T	1	1	1
Atrial (flutter/fibrillation) arrhythmia, no hypotension:				
Recognition 2 nd arrhythmia – Clinical assessment: BP & pulse †	ВК/Т	1	1	1
Rate control agents (Amiodarone; doses) †	ВК/Т	0.25	1	1
Sequence of action – 1 st cardiac arrhythmia †	C/NT	1	1	1
Sequence of action – 2 nd cardiac arrhythmia †	C/NT	1	1	1
	Function			
SCENARIO – Resuscitation Type 2	Tested	PGY-2	PGY-3	PGY-4
Atrial tachycardia w/hypotension:				
Address the patient	BK/T	1	1	1
Clinical assessment	BK/T	1	1	1
Administer oxygen 🕇	BK/T	0.67*	1	1
Consider NIV	BK/T	0.33*	0.5 *	0.67*
Correct application of NIV	BK/T	1	0.75	1
ECG interpretation – Acute ischemia †	C/NT	1	0.75	1
Chest x-ray interpretation – Pulmonary congestion/edema 🕇	C/NT	0.67*	1	1
Recognition – Irregular 1 st arrhythmia	BK/T	0.67*	1	1
Clinical assessment – BP & pulse evaluation +	BK/T	0.33*	0.5 *	1
Consider defibrillation – Sync; energy level; attempts 🕇	BK/T	0.33*	0 *	0.33*
Clinical assessment before intubation – BP & pulse evaluation	ВК/Т	0.67*	0.75	1
Prepare all equipment for tracheal intubation +	ВК/Т	0.33*	1	0.67*
Prepare medications for tracheal intubation †	BK/T	0.67*	1	1
Atrial (flutter/fibrillation) arrhythmia, no hypotension:				
Recognition 2 nd arrhythmia – Clinical consciousness level, BP & pulse †	ВК/Т	0.33*	1	0.67*
Rate control agents (i.e., Amiodarone; doses) +	BK/T	0.67	0.75	1
Sequence of action – 1 st cardiac arrhythmia †	C/NT	0.67*	0.75*	0* ‡
Sequence of action – 2 nd cardiac arrhythmia †	C/NT	1	1	1

*Performance grade <0.7 for PGY groups and/or all residents **BK/T** = Basic knowledge/treatment; **C/NT**=cognitive/non-technical; BP=blood pressure; NIV-noninvasive ventilation

Table 4 Performance grades for all items on the Trauma scenarios by PGY level for all residents

		DIF	FICULIY GRAL	
SCENARIO – Trauma Type 1	Function Tested	PGY-2	PGY-3	PGY-4
Chest & neck injury:				
Address the patient	ВК/Т	1	1	1
Clinical assessment – Neck, auscultation	BK/T	0.67*	0.67*	1
Administer oxygen for desaturation †	BK/T	0.67*	1	1
Additional needed assessment (labs, x-ray)	C/NT	1	1	1
Additional needed work-up (IV, blood work)	C/NT	0.67*	1	1
Decision about intercostal drain/needle	C/NT	0.33*	1	1
Development of tension pneumothorax:	-			
Clinical assessment – Neck, auscultation +	ВК/Т	0.33*	0.67*	1
Hemodynamic assessment/evaluation	ВК/Т	0.67*	1	0.75
Needle insertion (location, technique) †	ВК/Т	0.67*	1	1
Deterioration – Hypoxia:				
Chest x-ray interpretation – Tension pneumothorax	C/NT	0.67*	1	1
Intercostal drain (location, technique) +	ВК/Т	0.67*	0.67*	1
Equipment for tracheal intubation	ВК/Т	0.33*	0.67*	0.75
Consider intubation post-pneumothorax (sedation;	C/NT	0 *	0.33*	0.75
spontaneous vs. positive-pressure ventilation) 🕇				
Decision – Surgical airway after failed intubation	C/NT	1	0.67	0.75
SCENARIO – Trauma Type 2	Function	PGY-2	PGY-3	PGY-4
Head & neck injury:				
Address the patient	BK/T	1	1	1
Clinical assessment – Neck evaluation (collar	ВК/Т	1	0.75	0.5 *
Administer every for deseturation	DK /T	0.0	ΟΓ *	○ *
Additional readed accessment (labs y rey)	BK/I	0.0	0.5	1
Additional needed assessment (Idos, X-ray)		0.0 *	0.75	
Additional needed work-up (IV, blood work)	C/NT	0.2		0.5 +
deterioration) †	C/NT	T	0.75	0.5 +
Deterioration – Hypoxia:				
Prepare equipment for tracheal intubation	ВК/Т	0.6 *	0.5	1
Prepare medications for tracheal intubation	ВК/Т	0.6 *	0.75	1
Intubation technique (cricoid pressure) +	ВК/Т	1	1	1
Intubation technique (neck stabilization) †	BK/T	0.6 *	0.75	1
Opinion about other (vascular) surgery	C/NT	0.4 *	0.75	1
Intraoperative cerebral monitoring consideration	C/NT	0.2 *	0.25*	0 * ‡
tor non-neurosurgical procedure				

*Performance Grade <0.7 for PGY groups and/or all residents

BK/T = Basic knowledge/treatment; **C/NT**=cognitive/non-technical; IV=intravenous

0.64* 0.91

0.91

0.9

0.9

0.8

0.6*

0.55* 0.73

0.55*

0.82

0.64*

0.73

0.73

0.64*

0.18*

 Table 5 Mean difficulty (Performance grade) and error rates for
each scenario by PGY level and for all residents

	PGY-2	PGY-3	PGY-4	
SCENARIO – OR Type 1:				
Hypotension developing in the OR				
Mean difficulty ± SD	$0.62 \pm 0.33^*$	$0.66 \pm 0.25^*$	$0.69 \pm 0.24^*$	0.66 ± 0.22*
Error rate	0.38*	0.34*	0.31*	0.34*
SCENARIO – OR Type 2:				
Hypertension				
Mean difficulty ± SD	$0.58 \pm 0.28^*$	$0.63 \pm 0.33^*$	0.77 ± 0.33	$0.64 \pm 0.22^*$
Error rate	0.4*	0.35*	0.22 [†]	0.35*
SCENARIO – Resuscitation Type 1:				
Wide complex tachycardia w/hypotension				
Mean difficulty ± SD	0.84 ± 0.31	0.90 ± 0.20	0.96 ± 0.1	0.90 ±0.17
Error rate	0.16	0.1	0.04 [†]	0.10
SCENARIO – Resuscitation Type 2:				
Atrial tachycardia w/hypotension				
Mean difficulty ± SD	0.67 ± 0.26	0.81 ± 0.27	0.84 ± 0.29	0.78 ± 0.22
Error rate	0.33	0.19	0.16 ^{†‡}	0.22 [‡]
SCENARIO – Trauma Type 1:				
Chest & neck injury				
Mean difficulty ± SD	0.62 ± 0.29	0.83 ± 0.22	0.93 ± 0.12	0.80 ± 0.16
Error rate	0.38	0.17 [†]	0.07 [†]	0.19
SCENARIO – Trauma Type 2:				
Head & neck injury				
Mean difficulty ± SD	0.71 ± 0.27	0.70 ± 0.22	0.73 ± 0.41	0.71 ± 0.23
Error rate	0.33*	0.27	0.29 [‡]	0.30 ^{*‡}

*Performance grade <0.7 or Error rate >0.3 for PGY-4 group and/or all residents t_{vs.} PGY-2 Group

Conclusions

- The differences in deficiencies found between the 3 domains tested (Table 5) and the lower *success rate/performance grade* found more in the **OR>Trauma>Resuscitation** fields can be related to the increased "missed" items associated with decision and choice of action or advanced knowledge[3] rather than to basic knowledge or treatment.
- The differences in *success rate/performance grade* between scenarios #1 and #2 in Trauma can be explained on the same basis.
- It appears that even though only 45% of the tasks/items were in the frame of advanced knowledge, these tasks were more "problematic" to learn or teach to most of the residents, including the graduating PGY-4 residents.
- It also appears that there is a real need for improvement not only in evaluating the performance of anesthesia nontechnical skills, but also in teaching goals directed to enhance this aspect.

References

- 1. Berkenstadt H, Ziv A, Gafni N, et al. Incorporating simulation-based objective structured clinical examination into the Israeli National Board Examination in Anesthesiology. Anesth Analg 2006; 102:853-8.
- . Boulet JR, Murray DJ. Simulation-assessment in anesthesiology: requirements for practical implementation. Anesthesiology 2010; 112:1041-52.
- Gaba DM, Howard SK, Flanagan B, et al. Assessment of clinical performance during simulated crises using both technical and behavioral ratings. Anesthesiology 1998; 89:8-18.